arXiv:math/0609565v1 [math.DG] 20 Sep 2006

JACOBI–TSANKOV MANIFOLDS WHICH ARE NOT 2-STEP NILPOTENT

M. BROZOS-VÁZQUEZ, P. GILKEY, AND S. NIKČEVIĆ

Abstract. There is a 14-dimensional algebraic curvature tensor which is Jacobi–Tsankov (i.e. $\mathcal{J}(x)\mathcal{J}(y) = \mathcal{J}(y)\mathcal{J}(x)$ for all x, y) but which is not 2-step Jacobi nilpotent (i.e. $\mathcal{J}(x)\mathcal{J}(y) \neq 0$ for some x, y); the minimal dimension where this is possible is 14. We determine the group of symmetries of this tensor and show that it is geometrically realizable by a wide variety of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds which are geodesically complete and have vanishing scalar invariants. Some of the manifolds in the family are symmetric spaces. Some are 0-curvature homogeneous but not locally homogeneous.

1. Introduction

Let ∇, $\mathcal{R}$, R, and $\mathcal{J}$ denote the Levi-Civita connection, the curvature operator, the curvature tensor, and the Jacobi operator, respectively, of a pseudo-Riemannian manifold $\mathcal{M} := (M, g)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{R}(X,Y) = \nabla_X\nabla_Y - \nabla_Y\nabla_X - \nabla_{[X,Y]},\\
&R(X,Y,Z,W) := g(\mathcal{R}(X,Y)Z,W), \quad \text{and}\\
&\mathcal{J}(x)y := \mathcal{R}(y,x)x\,.
\end{aligned}$$

The relationship between algebraic properties of the Jacobi operator and the underlying geometry of the manifold has been extensively studied in recent years. For example, $\mathcal{M}$ is said to be *Osserman* if the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{J}$ are constant on the pseudo-sphere bundles $S^{\pm}(M,g)$ of unit spacelike $(+)$ and timelike $(-)$ tangent vectors; we refer to [2, 6] for a further discussion in the pseudo-Riemannian context.

In this paper, we will focus our attention on a different algebraic property of the Jacobi operator. One says $\mathcal{M}$ is *Jacobi–Tsankov* if $\mathcal{J}(x)\mathcal{J}(y) = \mathcal{J}(y)\mathcal{J}(x)$ for all tangent vectors x, y. One says $\mathcal{J}$ is *2-step Jacobi nilpotent* if $\mathcal{J}(x)\mathcal{J}(y) = 0$ for all tangent vectors x, y. The notation is motivated by the work of Tsankov [9].

It is convenient to work in the algebraic setting.

Definition 1.1. Let V be a finite dimensional vector space.

1. $A \in \otimes^4 V^*$ is an *algebraic curvature tensor* if A has the symmetries of the curvature tensor:
   (a) $A(v_1,v_2,v_3,v_4) = -A(v_2,v_1,v_3,v_4) = A(v_3,v_4,v_1,v_2)$.
   (b) $A(v_1,v_2,v_3,v_4) + A(v_2,v_3,v_1,v_4) + A(v_3,v_1,v_2,v_4) = 0$.
   Let $\mathfrak{A}(V)$ be the set of algebraic curvature tensors on V.
2. $\mathfrak{M} := (V, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle, A)$ is a 0-model if $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is a non-degenerate inner product of signature (p,q) on V and if $A \in \mathfrak{A}(V)$. The associated *skew-symmetric curvature operator* $\mathcal{A}(x,y)$ is characterized by

---

Key words and phrases. Jacobi operator, Jacobi–Tsankov manifold.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C20.

$$\langle \mathcal{A}(x,y)z,w\rangle = A(x,y,z,w),$$

and the associated *Jacobi operator* is given by $\mathcal{J}(x)y := \mathcal{A}(y,x)x$.

(3) $\mathfrak{M}$ is *Jacobi-Tsankov* if $\mathcal{J}(x)\mathcal{J}(y) = \mathcal{J}(y)\mathcal{J}(x)\ \forall\ x,y \in V$.
(4) $\mathfrak{M}$ is 2-*step Jacobi nilpotent* if $\mathcal{J}(x)\mathcal{J}(y) = 0\ \forall\ x,y \in V$.
(5) $\mathfrak{M}$ is *skew-Tsankov* if $\mathcal{A}(x_1,x_2)\mathcal{A}(x_3,x_4) = \mathcal{A}(x_3,x_4)\mathcal{A}(x_1,x_2)\ \forall\ x_i \in V$.
(6) $\mathfrak{M}$ is 2-*step skew-curvature nilpotent* if $\mathcal{A}(x_1,x_2)\mathcal{A}(x_3,x_4) = 0\ \forall\ x_i \in V$.
(7) $\mathfrak{M}$ is *mixed-Tsankov* if $\mathcal{A}(x_1,x_2)\mathcal{J}(x_3) = \mathcal{J}(x_3)\mathcal{A}(x_1,x_2)\ \forall\ x_i \in V$.
(8) $\mathfrak{M}$ is *mixed-nilpotent-Tsankov* if $\mathcal{A}(x_1,x_2)\mathcal{J}(x_3) = \mathcal{J}(x_3)\mathcal{A}(x_1,x_2) = 0\ \forall\ x_i \in V$.
(9) The 0-*model of* $\mathcal{M}$ *at* $P \in M$ is given by $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{M},P) := (T_PM, g_P, R_P)$.
(10) We say that $\mathcal{M}$ is a *geometric realization* of $\mathfrak{M}$ and that $\mathcal{M}$ is 0-*curvature homogeneous with model* $\mathfrak{M}$ if for any point $P \in M$, $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{M},P)$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{M}$, i.e. if there exists an isomorphism $\Theta_P : T_PM \to V$ so that $\Theta_P^*\{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle\} = g_P$ and so that $\Theta_P^*A = R_P$.

The following results relate these concepts in the algebraic setting. They show in particular that any Jacobi–Tsankov Riemannian ($p=0$) or Lorentzian ($p=1$) manifold is flat:

Theorem 1.2. *Let* $\mathfrak{M} := (V,\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle,A)$ *be a* 0*-model.*

(1) *Let* $\mathfrak{M}$ *be either Jacobi–Tsankov or mixed-Tsankov. Then one has that* $\mathcal{J}(x)^2 = 0$. *Furthermore, if* $p=0$ *or if* $p=1$, *then* $A=0$.
(2) *If* $\mathfrak{M}$ *is Jacobi–Tsankov and if* $\dim(V) < 14$, $\mathfrak{M}$ *is* 2*-step Jacobi nilpotent.*
(3) *The following conditions are equivalent if* $\mathfrak{M}$ *is indecomposable:*
    (a) $\mathfrak{M}$ *is* 2*-step Jacobi nilpotent.*
    (b) $\mathfrak{M}$ *is* 2*-step skew-curvature nilpotent.*
    (c) *We can decompose* $V = W \oplus \bar{W}$ *for* W *and* $\bar{W}$ *totally isotropic subspaces of* V *and for* $A = A_W \oplus 0$ *where the tensor* $A_W \in \mathfrak{A}(W)$ *is indecomposable.*

Theorem 1.2 is sharp. There is a 14-dimensional model $\mathfrak{M}_{14}$ which is Jacobi–Tsankov but which is not 2-step Jacobi nilpotent. This example will form the focus of our investigations in this paper. It may be defined as follows; it is essentially unique up to isomorphism.

Definition 1.3. Let $\{\alpha_i, \alpha_i^*, \beta_{i,1}, \beta_{i,2}, \beta_{4,1}, \beta_{4,2}\}$ be a basis for $\mathbb{R}^{14}$ for $1 \le i \le 3$. Let $\mathfrak{M}_{14} := (\mathbb{R}^{14},\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle,A)$ be the 0-model where the non-zero components of $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ and of A are given, up to the usual symmetries, by:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle\alpha_i,\alpha_i^*\rangle = \langle\beta_{i,1},\beta_{i,2}\rangle = 1 \text{ for } 1 \le i \le 3,\\
&\langle\beta_{4,1},\beta_{4,1}\rangle = \langle\beta_{4,2},\beta_{4,2}\rangle = -\tfrac12, \quad \langle\beta_{4,1},\beta_{4,2}\rangle = \tfrac14,\\
&A(\alpha_2,\alpha_1,\alpha_1,\beta_{2,1}) = A(\alpha_3,\alpha_1,\alpha_1,\beta_{3,1}) = 1,\\
&A(\alpha_3,\alpha_2,\alpha_2,\beta_{3,2}) = A(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_2,\beta_{1,2}) = 1,\\
&A(\alpha_1,\alpha_3,\alpha_3,\beta_{1,1}) = A(\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_3,\beta_{2,2}) = 1,\\
&A(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\beta_{4,1}) = A(\alpha_1,\alpha_3,\alpha_2,\beta_{4,1}) = -\tfrac12,\\
&A(\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_1,\beta_{4,2}) = A(\alpha_2,\alpha_1,\alpha_3,\beta_{4,2}) = -\tfrac12\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.a}$$

Let $\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{M}_{14})$ be the group of symmetries of the model:

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{M}_{14}) = \{T \in GL(\mathbb{R}^{14}) : T^*\{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle\} = \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle,\ T^*A = A\}\,.$$

Let $SL_\pm(3) := \{A \in GL(\mathbb{R}^3) : \det(A) = \pm1\}$. In Section 2, we will establish:

Theorem 1.4. *Let* $\mathfrak{M}_{14}$ *be as in Definition 1.3.*

1. $\mathfrak{M}_{14}$ *is Jacobi–Tsankov of signature* $(8,6)$.
2. $\mathfrak{M}_{14}$ *is neither* 2*-step Jacobi nilpotent nor skew-Tsankov.*
3. *There is a short exact sequence* $1 \to \mathbb{R}^{21} \to \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{M}_{14}) \to SL_{\pm}(3) \to 1$.
4. $\mathfrak{M}_{14}$ *is mixed–Tsankov.*

In Section 3, we will show that the model $\mathfrak{M}_{14}$ is geometrically realizable. Thus there exist Jacobi–Tsankov manifolds which are not 2-step Jacobi nilpotent. We introduce the following notation.

Definition 1.5. Let $\{x_i, x_i^*, y_{i,1}, y_{i,2}, y_{4,1}, y_{4,2}\}$ for $1 \le i \le 3$ be coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^{14}$. Suppose given a collection of functions $\Phi := \{\phi_{i,j}\} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\phi'_{i,1}\phi'_{i,2} = 1$. Let $\mathcal{M}_\Phi := (\mathbb{R}^{14}, g_\Phi)$ where the non-zero components of g_Φ are, up to the usual $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry, given by:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
g_\Phi(\partial_{x_i}, \partial_{x_i^*}) = g_\Phi(\partial_{y_{i,1}}, \partial_{y_{i,2}}) = 1 \text{ for } 1 \le i \le 3, & \\
g_\Phi(\partial_{y_{4,1}}, \partial_{y_{4,1}}) = g_\Phi(\partial_{y_{4,2}}, \partial_{y_{4,2}}) = -\frac{1}{2}, & g_\Phi(\partial_{y_{4,1}}, \partial_{y_{4,2}}) = \frac{1}{4}, \\
g_\Phi(\partial_{x_1}, \partial_{x_1}) = -2\phi_{2,1}(x_2)y_{2,1} - 2\phi_{3,1}(x_3)y_{3,1}, & g_\Phi(\partial_{x_2}, \partial_{x_3}) = x_1 y_{4,1}, \\
g_\Phi(\partial_{x_2}, \partial_{x_2}) = -2\phi_{3,2}(x_3)y_{3,2} - 2\phi_{1,2}(x_1)y_{1,2}, & g_\Phi(\partial_{x_1}, \partial_{x_3}) = x_2 y_{4,2}, \\
g_\Phi(\partial_{x_3}, \partial_{x_3}) = -2\phi_{1,1}(x_1)y_{1,1} - 2\phi_{2,2}(x_2)y_{2,2}\,. &
\end{array}$$

Theorem 1.6. *Let* $\mathcal{M}_\Phi := (\mathbb{R}^{14}, g_\Phi)$ *be as in Definition 1.5.*

1. $\mathcal{M}_\Phi$ *is geodesically complete.*
2. *For all* $P \in \mathbb{R}^{14}$, $\exp_P$ *is a diffeomorphism from* $T_P(\mathbb{R}^{14})$ *to* $\mathbb{R}^{14}$.
3. $\mathcal{M}_\Phi$ *has* 0*-model* $\mathfrak{M}_{14}$.
4. $\mathcal{M}_\Phi$ *is Jacobi–Tsankov but* $\mathcal{M}_\Phi$ *is not* 2*-step Jacobi nilpotent.*

If we specialize the construction, we can say a bit more. We will establish the following result in Section 4:

Theorem 1.7. *Set* $\phi_{2,1}(x_2) = \phi_{2,2}(x_2) = x_2$ *and* $\phi_{3,1}(x_3) = \phi_{3,2}(x_3) = x_3$ *in Definition 1.5. Let* $\{\phi_{1,1}, \phi_{1,2}\}$ *be real analytic with* $\phi'_{1,1}\phi'_{1,2} = 1$ *and with* $\phi''_{1,j} \ne 0$. *Then*

1. $\Xi := \{1 - \phi'_{1,1}\phi'''_{1,1}(\phi''_{1,1})^{-2}\}^2$ *is a local isometry invariant of* $\mathcal{M}_\Phi$.
2. *If* $\phi'_{1,1}(x_1) \ne be^{cx_1}$, *then* Ξ *is not locally constant and hence* $\mathcal{M}_\Phi$ *is not locally homogeneous.*

There are symmetric spaces which have model $\mathfrak{M}_{14}$.

Definition 1.8. Let $\{x_i, x_i^*, y_{i,1}, y_{i,2}, y_{4,1}, y_{4,2}\}$ for $1 \le i \le 3$ be coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^{14}$. Let $A := \{a_{i,j}\}$ be a collection of real constants. Let $\mathcal{M}_A := (\mathbb{R}^{14}, g_A)$ where the non-zero components of g_A are given, up to the usual $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry, by:

$$\begin{aligned}
&g_A(\partial_{x_i}, \partial_{x_i^*}) = g_A(\partial_{y_{i,1}}, \partial_{y_{i,2}}) = 1 \text{ for } 1 \le i \le 3,\\
&g_A(\partial_{y_{4,1}}, \partial_{y_{4,1}}) = g_A(\partial_{y_{4,2}}, \partial_{y_{4,2}}) = -\tfrac{1}{2}, \qquad g_A(\partial_{y_{4,1}}, \partial_{y_{4,2}}) = \tfrac{1}{4},\\
&g_A(\partial_{x_1}, \partial_{x_1}) = -2a_{2,1}x_2y_{2,1} - 2a_{3,1}x_3y_{3,1},\\
&g_A(\partial_{x_2}, \partial_{x_2}) = -2a_{3,2}x_3y_{3,2} - 2a_{1,2}x_1y_{1,2},\\
&g_A(\partial_{x_3}, \partial_{x_3}) = -2a_{1,1}x_1y_{1,1} - 2a_{2,2}x_2y_{2,2},\\
&g_A(\partial_{x_1}, \partial_{x_2}) = 2(1 - a_{2,1})x_1y_{2,1} + 2(1 - a_{1,2})x_2y_{1,2}\\
&g_A(\partial_{x_2}, \partial_{x_3}) = x_1y_{4,1} + 2(1 - a_{3,2})x_2y_{3,2} + 2(1 - a_{2,2})x_3y_{2,2},\\
&g_A(\partial_{x_1}, \partial_{x_3}) = x_2y_{4,2} + 2(1 - a_{3,1})x_1y_{3,1} + 2(1 - a_{1,1})x_3y_{1,1}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

We will establish the following result in Section 5:

Theorem 1.9. *Let $\mathcal{M}_A$ be described by Definition 1.8. Then $\mathcal{M}_A$ has 0-model $\mathfrak{M}_{14}$. Furthermore $\mathcal{M}_A$ is locally symmetric if and only if*

(1) $a_{1,1}+a_{2,2}+a_{3,1}a_{3,2}=2$.
(2) $3a_{2,1}+3a_{3,1}+3a_{1,2}a_{1,1}=4$.
(3) $3a_{1,2}+3a_{3,2}+3a_{2,1}a_{2,2}=4$.

2. The model $\mathfrak{M}_{14}$

We study the algebraic properties of the model $\mathfrak{M}_{14}$. Introduce the polarization

$$\mathcal{J}(x_1,x_2):y\to\tfrac{1}{2}(\mathcal{A}(y,x_1)x_2+\mathcal{A}(y,x_2)x_1)\,.$$

Let $\{\beta_\nu\}$ be an enumeration of $\{\beta_{i,j}\}_{1\le i\le 4,1\le j\le 2}$. The following spaces are invariantly defined:

$$\begin{aligned}V_{\beta,\alpha^*}&:=\operatorname{Span}_{\xi_i\in\mathbb{R}^{14}}\{\mathcal{J}(\xi_1)\xi_2\}=\operatorname{Span}\{\beta_\nu,\alpha_i^*\},\\ V_{\alpha^*}&:=\operatorname{Span}_{\xi_i\in\mathbb{R}^{14}}\{\mathcal{J}(\xi_1)\mathcal{J}(\xi_2)\xi_3\}=\operatorname{Span}\{\alpha_i^*\}\,.\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We have $\mathcal{J}(x)=\mathcal{J}(x,x)$ and $\mathcal{J}(x,y)x=-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{J}(x)y$. If $\mathfrak{M}$ is Jacobi–Tsankov, then $\mathcal{J}(x_1,x_2)\mathcal{J}(x_3,x_4)=\mathcal{J}(x_3,x_4)\mathcal{J}(x_1,x_2)$ for all x_i. We may show $\mathcal{J}(x)^2=0$ by computing:

$$0=\mathcal{J}(x,y)\mathcal{J}(x)x=\mathcal{J}(x)\mathcal{J}(x,y)x=-\tfrac{1}{2}\mathcal{J}(x)\mathcal{J}(x)y\,.$$

Similarly, suppose that $\mathfrak{M}$ is mixed–Tsankov, i.e.

$$\mathcal{A}(x_1,x_2)\mathcal{J}(x_3)=\mathcal{J}(x_3)\mathcal{A}(x_1,x_2)$$

for all $x_i\in V$. We show $\mathcal{J}(x)^2=0$ in this setting as well by computing:

$$0=\mathcal{A}(x,y)\mathcal{J}(x)x=\mathcal{J}(x)\mathcal{A}(x,y)x=-\mathcal{J}(x)\mathcal{J}(x)y\,.$$

We have shown that if $\mathfrak{M}$ is either Jacobi-Tsankov or mixed-Tsankov, then $J(x)^2=0$. Since the Jacobi operator is nilpotent, $\{0\}$ is the only eigenvalue of $\mathcal{J}$ so $\mathfrak{M}$ is Osserman. If $p=0$, then $\mathcal{J}(x)$ is diagonalizable. Thus $\mathcal{J}(x)^2=0$ implies $\mathcal{J}(x)=0$ for all x so $A=0$. If $p=1$, then $\mathfrak{M}$ is Osserman so $\mathfrak{M}$ has constant sectional curvature [1, 5]; $\mathcal{J}(x)^2=0$, $A=0$. This establishes Assertion (1). Assertions (2) and (3) of Theorem 1.2 follow from results in [4]. □

Proof of Theorem 1.4 (1,2). It is immediate from the definition that

$$\mathcal{J}(\alpha_3)\mathcal{J}(\alpha_2)\alpha_1=\mathcal{J}(\alpha_3)\beta_{1,1}=\alpha_1^*$$

so $\mathfrak{M}_{14}$ is not 2-step Jacobi nilpotent.

We define $\beta_{4,1}^*$ and $\beta_{4,2}^*$ by the relations: $\langle\beta_{4,i}^*,\beta_{4,j}\rangle=\delta_{ij}$. We then have:

$$\beta_{4,1}^*=-\tfrac{8}{3}\beta_{4,1}-\tfrac{4}{3}\beta_{4,2},\quad\beta_{4,2}^*=-\tfrac{4}{3}\beta_{4,1}-\tfrac{8}{3}\beta_{4,2}\,.$$

Let $\mathcal{A}_{ij}:=\mathcal{A}(\alpha_i,\alpha_j)$. We show that $\mathfrak{M}_{14}$ is not skew-Tsankov by computing:

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{A}_{12}\mathcal{A}_{13}\alpha_3=\mathcal{A}_{12}\beta_{1,2}=-\alpha_2^*,\\ &\mathcal{A}_{13}\mathcal{A}_{12}\alpha_3=-\tfrac{1}{2}\mathcal{A}_{13}\{\beta_{4,1}^*-\beta_{4,2}^*\}=\mathcal{A}_{13}\{\tfrac{2}{3}\beta_{4,1}-\tfrac{2}{3}\beta_{4,2}\}=\tfrac{1}{3}\alpha_2^*\,.\end{aligned}$$

If $\xi\in\mathbb{R}^{14}$, then $\mathcal{J}(\xi)\alpha_i\subset V_{\beta,\alpha^*}$, $\mathcal{J}(\xi)\beta_\nu\subset V_{\alpha^*}$, and $\mathcal{J}(\xi)\alpha_i^*=0$. Thus to show $\mathcal{J}(x)\mathcal{J}(y)=\mathcal{J}(y)\mathcal{J}(x)$ for all x,y, it suffices to show

$$\mathcal{J}(x)\mathcal{J}(y)\alpha_i=\mathcal{J}(y)\mathcal{J}(x)\alpha_i$$

for all x, y, i. Since $\mathcal{J}(x)\mathcal{J}(y)\alpha_i \in V_{\alpha^*}$, this can be done by establishing:

$$\langle \mathcal{J}(x)\alpha_i, \mathcal{J}(y)\alpha_j\rangle = \langle \mathcal{J}(y)\alpha_i, \mathcal{J}(x)\alpha_j\rangle$$

for all x, y, i, j. Since $\mathcal{J}(x_1, x_2)\alpha_i \in V_{\alpha^*}$ if either x_1 or $x_2 \in V_{\beta,\alpha^*}$, we may take $x_1 = \alpha_i$ and $x_2 = \alpha_j$. Let $\mathcal{J}_{ijk} := \mathcal{J}(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)\alpha_k$. We must show:

$$\langle \mathcal{J}_{i_1i_2i_3}, \mathcal{J}_{j_1j_2j_3}\rangle = \langle \mathcal{J}_{i_1i_2j_3}, \mathcal{J}_{j_1j_2i_3}\rangle \quad \forall i_1i_2i_3j_1j_2j_3\,.$$

The non-zero components of $\mathcal{J}_{ijk} = \mathcal{J}_{jik}$ are:

$$\begin{array}{llll}
\mathcal{J}_{112} = \beta_{2,2}, & \mathcal{J}_{113} = \beta_{3,2}, & \mathcal{J}_{221} = \beta_{1,1}, & \mathcal{J}_{223} = \beta_{3,1},\\
\mathcal{J}_{331} = \beta_{1,2}, & \mathcal{J}_{332} = \beta_{2,1}, & \mathcal{J}_{121} = -\frac12\beta_{2,2}, & \mathcal{J}_{122} = -\frac12\beta_{1,1},\\
\mathcal{J}_{131} = -\frac12\beta_{3,2}, & \mathcal{J}_{133} = -\frac12\beta_{1,2}, & \mathcal{J}_{232} = -\frac12\beta_{3,1}, & \mathcal{J}_{233} = -\frac12\beta_{2,1},\\
\multicolumn{2}{l}{\mathcal{J}_{132} = \frac14\beta^*_{4,1} - \frac12\beta^*_{4,2} = \beta_{4,2},} & \multicolumn{2}{l}{\mathcal{J}_{231} = -\frac12\beta^*_{4,1} + \frac14\beta^*_{4,2} = \beta_{4,1},}\\
\multicolumn{4}{l}{\mathcal{J}_{123} = \frac14\beta^*_{4,1} + \frac14\beta^*_{4,2} = -\beta_{4,1} - \beta_{4,2}\,.}
\end{array}$$

The non-zero inner products are:

$$\begin{array}{llll}
\langle\mathcal{J}_{112}, \mathcal{J}_{332}\rangle = 1, & \langle\mathcal{J}_{112}, \mathcal{J}_{233}\rangle = -\frac12, & \langle\mathcal{J}_{121}, \mathcal{J}_{332}\rangle = -\frac12, & \langle\mathcal{J}_{121}, \mathcal{J}_{233}\rangle = \frac14,\\
\langle\mathcal{J}_{113}, \mathcal{J}_{223}\rangle = 1, & \langle\mathcal{J}_{113}, \mathcal{J}_{232}\rangle = -\frac12, & \langle\mathcal{J}_{131}, \mathcal{J}_{223}\rangle = -\frac12, & \langle\mathcal{J}_{232}, \mathcal{J}_{131}\rangle = \frac14,\\
\langle\mathcal{J}_{221}, \mathcal{J}_{331}\rangle = 1, & \langle\mathcal{J}_{221}, \mathcal{J}_{133}\rangle = -\frac12, & \langle\mathcal{J}_{122}, \mathcal{J}_{331}\rangle = -\frac12, & \langle\mathcal{J}_{122}, \mathcal{J}_{133}\rangle = \frac14,\\
\langle\mathcal{J}_{123}, \mathcal{J}_{123}\rangle = \star, & \langle\mathcal{J}_{123}, \mathcal{J}_{132}\rangle = \frac14, & \langle\mathcal{J}_{123}, \mathcal{J}_{231}\rangle = \frac14, & \langle\mathcal{J}_{132}, \mathcal{J}_{132}\rangle = \star,\\
\langle\mathcal{J}_{132}, \mathcal{J}_{231}\rangle = \frac14, & \langle\mathcal{J}_{231}, \mathcal{J}_{231}\rangle = \star\,. & &
\end{array}$$

The desired symmetries are now immediate:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\langle\mathcal{J}_{112}, \mathcal{J}_{233}\rangle = -\frac12 = \langle\mathcal{J}_{113}, \mathcal{J}_{232}\rangle, & \langle\mathcal{J}_{123}, \mathcal{J}_{132}\rangle = \frac14 = \langle\mathcal{J}_{122}, \mathcal{J}_{133}\rangle,\\
\langle\mathcal{J}_{121}, \mathcal{J}_{332}\rangle = -\frac12 = \langle\mathcal{J}_{122}, \mathcal{J}_{331}\rangle, & \langle\mathcal{J}_{123}, \mathcal{J}_{231}\rangle = \frac14 = \langle\mathcal{J}_{121}, \mathcal{J}_{233}\rangle,\\
\langle\mathcal{J}_{131}, \mathcal{J}_{223}\rangle = -\frac12 = \langle\mathcal{J}_{133}, \mathcal{J}_{221}\rangle, & \langle\mathcal{J}_{132}, \mathcal{J}_{231}\rangle = \frac14 = \langle\mathcal{J}_{131}, \mathcal{J}_{232}\rangle\,. \quad \square
\end{array}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.4 (3,4). Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{M}_{14})$ be the group of symmetries of the model $\mathfrak{M}_{14}$. Note that the spaces V_{β,α^*} and V_{α^*} are preserved by $\mathcal{G}$, i.e.

$$TV_{\alpha^*} \subset V_{\alpha^*} \quad\text{and}\quad TV_{\beta,\alpha^*} \subset V_{\beta,\alpha^*} \quad\text{if}\quad T \in \mathcal{G}\,. \tag{2.a}$$

Let $\tau : \mathcal{G} \to GL(3)$ be the restriction of T to $V_{\alpha^*} = \mathbb{R}^3$. We will prove Theorem 1.4 (3) by showing:

$$SL_\pm(3) = \tau(\mathcal{G}) \quad\text{and}\quad \ker(\tau) = \mathbb{R}^{21}\,.$$

We argue as follows to show $SL_\pm(3) \subset \tau(\mathcal{G})$. Let $\beta_{4,3} := -\beta_{4,1} - \beta_{4,2}$. One may interchange the first two coordinates by setting:

$$\begin{array}{llll}
T : \alpha_1 \leftrightarrow \alpha_2, & T : \alpha_3 \leftrightarrow \alpha_3, & T : \alpha^*_1 \leftrightarrow \alpha^*_2, & T : \alpha^*_3 \leftrightarrow \alpha^*_3,\\
T : \beta_{1,1} \leftrightarrow \beta_{2,2}, & T : \beta_{1,2} \leftrightarrow \beta_{2,1}, & T : \beta_{3,1} \leftrightarrow \beta_{3,2}, & T : \beta_{4,1} \leftrightarrow \beta_{4,2}\,.
\end{array}$$

One may interchange the first and third coordinates by setting:

$$\begin{array}{llll}
T : \alpha_1 \leftrightarrow \alpha_3, & T : \alpha_2 \leftrightarrow \alpha_2, & T : \alpha^*_1 \leftrightarrow \alpha^*_3, & T : \alpha^*_2 \leftrightarrow \alpha^*_2,\\
T : \beta_{1,1} \leftrightarrow \beta_{3,1}, & T : \beta_{1,2} \leftrightarrow \beta_{3,2}, & T : \beta_{2,1} \leftrightarrow \beta_{2,2}, & T : \beta_{4,1} \leftrightarrow \beta_{4,3},\\
T : \beta_{4,2} \leftrightarrow \beta_{4,2}\,. & & &
\end{array}$$

To form a rotation in the first two coordinates, we set

$$\begin{aligned}
&T_\theta:\alpha_1\to\cos\theta\alpha_1+\sin\theta\alpha_2, && T_\theta:\alpha_2\to-\sin\theta\alpha_1+\cos\theta\alpha_2,\\
&T_\theta:\alpha_1^*\to\cos\theta\alpha_1^*+\sin\theta\alpha_2^*, && T_\theta:\alpha_2^*\to-\sin\theta\alpha_1^*+\cos\theta\alpha_2^*,\\
&T_\theta:\alpha_3\to\alpha_3, && T_\theta:\alpha_3^*\to\alpha_3^*,\\
&T_\theta:\beta_{1,1}\to\cos\theta\beta_{1,1}+\sin\theta\beta_{2,2}, && T_\theta:\beta_{1,2}\to\cos\theta\beta_{1,2}+\sin\theta\beta_{2,1},\\
&T_\theta:\beta_{2,1}\to-\sin\theta\beta_{1,2}+\cos\theta\beta_{2,1}, && T_\theta:\beta_{2,2}\to-\sin\theta\beta_{1,1}+\cos\theta\beta_{2,2},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&T_\theta:\beta_{3,1}\to\sin^2\theta\beta_{3,2}-2\sin\theta\cos\theta\beta_{4,3}+\cos^2\theta\beta_{3,1},\\
&T_\theta:\beta_{3,2}\to\cos^2\theta\beta_{3,2}+2\cos\theta\sin\theta\beta_{4,3}+\sin^2\theta\beta_{3,1},\\
&T_\theta:\beta_{4,1}\to\tfrac12\sin\theta\cos\theta\beta_{3,2}-\tfrac12\sin\theta\cos\theta\beta_{3,1}-\sin^2\theta\beta_{4,2}+\cos^2\theta\beta_{4,1},\\
&T_\theta:\beta_{4,2}\to\tfrac12\sin\theta\cos\theta\beta_{3,2}-\tfrac12\sin\theta\cos\theta\beta_{3,1}+\cos^2\theta\beta_{4,2}-\sin^2\theta\beta_{4,1}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we show that the dilatations of determinant 1 belong to Range$\{\tau\}$. Suppose $a_1a_2a_3=1$. We set

$$\begin{array}{llll}
T\alpha_1=a_1\alpha_1, & T\alpha_2=a_2\alpha_2, & T\alpha_3=a_3\alpha_3, & T\alpha_1^*=\frac{1}{a_1}\alpha_1^*,\\
T\alpha_2^*=\frac{1}{a_2}\alpha_2^*, & T\alpha_3^*=\frac{1}{a_3}\alpha_3^*, & T\beta_{1,1}=\frac{a_2}{a_3}\beta_{1,1}, & T\beta_{1,2}=\frac{a_3}{a_2}\beta_{1,2},\\
T\beta_{2,1}=\frac{a_3}{a_1}\beta_{2,1}, & T\beta_{2,2}=\frac{a_1}{a_3}\beta_{2,2}, & T\beta_{3,1}=\frac{a_2}{a_1}\beta_{3,1}, & T\beta_{3,2}=\frac{a_1}{a_2}\beta_{3,2},\\
T\beta_{4,1}=\beta_{4,1}, & T\beta_{4,2}=\beta_{4,2}\,. & &
\end{array}$$

Since these elements acting on V_{α^*} generate $SL_\pm(3)$, $SL_\pm(3)\subset\tau(\mathcal{G})$. Conversely, let $T\in\mathcal{G}$. We must show $\tau(T)\in SL_\pm(3)$. As $SL_\pm(3)\subset\text{Range}(\tau)$, there exists $S\in\mathcal{G}$ so that $\tau(TS)$ is diagonal. Thus without loss of generality, we may assume $\tau(T)$ is diagonal and hence:

$$T\alpha_i=a_i\alpha_i+\textstyle\sum_\nu b_i^\nu\beta_\nu+\sum_j c_i^j\alpha_j^*,\quad T\beta_\nu=b_\nu\beta_\nu+\sum_i d_\nu^i\alpha_i^*,\quad T\alpha_i^*=a_i^{-1}\alpha_i^*\,.$$

The relations

$$\begin{aligned}
-\tfrac12&=A(T\alpha_1,T\alpha_2,T\alpha_3,T\beta_{4,1})=-\tfrac12 a_1a_2a_3b_{4,1},\\
-\tfrac12&=\langle T\beta_{4,1},T\beta_{4,1}\rangle=-\tfrac12 b_{4,1}b_{4,1}
\end{aligned}$$

show that $b_{4,1}^2=1$ and thus $a_1a_2a_3=\pm1$. Thus Range$(\tau)=SL_\pm(3)$.

We complete the proof of Assertion (3) by studying $\ker(\tau)$. If one has $T\in\ker(\tau)$, then

$$T\alpha_i=\alpha_i+\textstyle\sum_\nu b_i^\nu\beta_\nu+\sum_j c_i^j\alpha_j^*,\quad T\beta_\nu=\beta_\nu+\sum_i d_\nu^i\alpha_i^*,\quad T\alpha_i^*=\alpha_i^*\,.$$

Using the relations $A(\alpha_i,\alpha_j,\alpha_k,\alpha_l)=0$ then leads to the following 6 linear equations the coefficients b_i^ν must satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned}
0&=A(T\alpha_2,T\alpha_1,T\alpha_1,T\alpha_2)\\
&=2A(b_2^{2,1}\beta_{2,1},\alpha_1,\alpha_1,\alpha_2)+2A(b_1^{1,2}\beta_{1,2},\alpha_2,\alpha_2,\alpha_1)=2b_2^{2,1}+2b_1^{1,2},\\
0&=A(T\alpha_3,T\alpha_1,T\alpha_1,T\alpha_3)\\
&=2A(b_3^{3,1}\beta_{3,1},\alpha_1,\alpha_1,\alpha_3)+2A(b_1^{1,1}\beta_{1,1},\alpha_3,\alpha_3,\alpha_1)=2b_3^{3,1}+2b_1^{1,1},\\
0&=A(T\alpha_3,T\alpha_2,T\alpha_2,T\alpha_3)\\
&=2A(b_3^{3,2}\beta_{3,2},\alpha_2,\alpha_2,\alpha_3)+2A(b_2^{2,2}\beta_{2,2},\alpha_3,\alpha_3,\alpha_2)=2b_3^{3,2}+2b_2^{2,2},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= A(T\alpha_2, T\alpha_1, T\alpha_1, T\alpha_3)\\
&= A(b_2^{3,1}\beta_{3,1}, \alpha_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_3) + A(\alpha_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_1, b_3^{2,1}\beta_{2,1})\\
&\quad + A(\alpha_2, b_1^{4,1}\beta_{4,1} + b_1^{4,2}\beta_{4,2}, \alpha_1, \alpha_3) + A(\alpha_2, \alpha_1, b_1^{4,1}\beta_{4,1} + b_1^{4,2}\beta_{4,2}, \alpha_3)\\
&= b_2^{3,1} + b_3^{2,1} - \tfrac12 b_1^{4,1} - \tfrac12 b_1^{4,1} + \tfrac12 b_1^{4,2},\\
0 &= A(T\alpha_1, T\alpha_2, T\alpha_2, T\alpha_3)\\
&= A(b_1^{3,2}\beta_{3,2}, \alpha_2, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) + A(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_2, b_3^{1,2}\beta_{1,2})\\
&\quad + A(\alpha_1, b_2^{4,1}\beta_{4,1} + b_2^{4,2}\beta_{4,2}, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) + A(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, b_2^{4,1}\beta_{4,1} + b_2^{4,2}\beta_{4,2}, \alpha_3)\\
&= b_1^{3,2} + b_3^{1,2} - \tfrac12 b_2^{4,2} + \tfrac12 b_2^{4,1} - \tfrac12 b_2^{4,2},\\
0 &= A(T\alpha_1, T\alpha_3, T\alpha_3, T\alpha_2)\\
&= A(b_1^{2,2}\beta_{2,2}, \alpha_3, \alpha_3, \alpha_2) + A(\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_3, b_2^{1,1}\beta_{1,1})\\
&\quad + A(\alpha_1, b_3^{4,1}\beta_{4,1} + b_3^{4,2}\beta_{4,2}, \alpha_3, \alpha_2) + A(\alpha_1, \alpha_3, b_3^{4,1}\beta_{4,1} + b_3^{4,2}\beta_{4,2}, \alpha_2)\\
&= b_1^{2,2} + b_2^{1,1} + \tfrac12 b_3^{4,2} + \tfrac12 b_3^{4,1}.
\end{aligned}$$

These equations are linearly independent so there are 18 degrees of freedom in choosing the b's. Once the b's are known, the coefficients d_ν^i are determined;

$$0 = \langle T\alpha_i, T\beta_\nu\rangle = d_\nu^i + \textstyle\sum_\mu \langle \beta_\nu, \beta_\mu\rangle b_i^\mu\,.$$

The relation $\langle T\alpha_i, T\alpha_j\rangle = \delta_{ij}$ implies $c_i^j + c_j^i = 0$; this creates an additional 3 degrees of freedom. Thus $\ker(\tau)$ is isomorphic to the additive group $\mathbb{R}^{21}$.

Let $\xi_i \in V$. Since $\mathcal{R}(\xi_1, \xi_2)\mathcal{J}(\xi_3) = \mathcal{J}(\xi_3)\mathcal{R}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = 0$ if any of the $\xi_i \in V_{\beta,\alpha^*}$, we may work modulo V_{β,α^*} and suppose that $\xi_i \in \operatorname{Span}\{\alpha_i\}$. Since $\mathcal{R}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = 0$ if the ξ_i are linearly dependent, we suppose ξ_1 and ξ_2 are linearly independent.

There are 2 cases to be considered. We first suppose $\xi_3 \in \operatorname{Span}\{\xi_1, \xi_2\}$. The argument given above shows that a subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$ isomorphic to $SL_\pm(3)$ acts $\operatorname{Span}\{\alpha_i\}$. Thus we may suppose $\operatorname{Span}\{\xi_1, \xi_2\} = \operatorname{Span}\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ and that $\xi_3 = \alpha_1$. Since $\mathcal{A}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = c\mathcal{A}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$, we may also assume $\xi_1 = \alpha_1$ and $\xi_2 = \alpha_2$. Let $\mathcal{A}_{ij} := \mathcal{A}(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)$ and $\mathcal{J}_k := \mathcal{J}(\alpha_k)$. We establish the desired result by computing:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{A}_{12}\mathcal{J}_1\alpha_1 = 0, && \mathcal{J}_1\mathcal{A}_{12}\alpha_1 = -\mathcal{J}_1\beta_{2,2} = 0,\\
&\mathcal{A}_{12}\mathcal{J}_1\alpha_2 = \mathcal{A}_{12}\beta_{2,2} = 0, && \mathcal{J}_1\mathcal{A}_{12}\alpha_2 = \mathcal{J}_1\beta_{1,1} = 0,\\
&\mathcal{A}_{12}\mathcal{J}_1\alpha_3 = \mathcal{A}_{12}\beta_{3,2} = 0, && \mathcal{J}_1\mathcal{A}_{12}\alpha_3 = \tfrac12\mathcal{J}_1(-\beta_{4,1}^* + \beta_{4,2}^*) = 0\,.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\}$ are linearly independent, we can apply a symmetry in $\mathcal{G}$ and rescale to assume $\xi_i = \alpha_i$. We complete the proof of Theorem 1.4 by computing:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{A}_{12}\mathcal{J}_3\alpha_1 = \mathcal{A}_{12}\beta_{1,2} = -\alpha_2^*, && \mathcal{J}_3\mathcal{A}_{12}\alpha_1 = -\mathcal{J}_3\beta_{2,2} = -\alpha_2^*,\\
&\mathcal{A}_{12}\mathcal{J}_3\alpha_2 = \mathcal{A}_{12}\beta_{2,1} = \alpha_1^*, && \mathcal{J}_3\mathcal{A}_{12}\alpha_2 = \mathcal{J}_3\beta_{1,1} = \alpha_1^*,\\
&\mathcal{A}_{1,2}\mathcal{J}_3\alpha_3 = 0, && \mathcal{J}_3\mathcal{A}_{1,2}\alpha_3 = \tfrac12\mathcal{J}_3(-\beta_{4,1}^* + \beta_{4,2}^*) = 0\,. \qquad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.1. If $\{e_1, e_2\}$ is an oriented orthonormal basis for a non-degenerate 2-plane π, one may define $\mathcal{R}(\pi) := \mathcal{R}(e_1, e_2)$ and one may define $\mathcal{J}(\pi) := \langle e_1, e_1\rangle\mathcal{J}(e_1) + \langle e_2, e_2\rangle\mathcal{J}(e_2)$. These operators are independent of the particular orthonormal basis chosen. Stanilov and Videv [8] have shown that if $\mathcal{M}$ is a 4-dimensional Riemannian manifold, then $\mathcal{R}(\pi)\mathcal{J}(\pi) = \mathcal{J}(\pi)\mathcal{R}(\pi)$ for all oriented 2-planes π if and only if $\mathcal{M}$ is Einstein. Assertion (4) of Theorem 1.4 shows $\mathfrak{M}_{14}$ has this property.

3. A GEOMETRIC REALIZATION OF $\mathfrak{M}$

We begin the proof of Theorem 1.6 with a general construction:

Definition 3.1. Let $\{x_i, x_i^*, y_\mu\}$ be coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^{2a+b}$ where $1 \le i \le a$ and $1 \le \mu \le b$. We suppose given a non-degenerate symmetric matrix $C_{\mu\nu}$ and smooth functions $\psi_{ij\mu} = \psi_{ij\mu}(\vec{x})$ with $\psi_{ij\mu} = \psi_{ji\mu}$. Consider the pseudo-Riemannian manifold $\mathcal{M}_{C,\psi} := (\mathbb{R}^{2a+b}, g_{C,\psi})$, where:

$$g_{C,\psi}(\partial_{x_i}, \partial_{x_j}) = 2\textstyle\sum_k y_\mu \psi_{ij\mu}, \quad g_{C,\psi}(\partial_{x_i}, \partial_{x_i^*}) = 1, \quad g_{C,\psi}(\partial_{y_\mu}, \partial_{y_\nu}) = C_{\mu\nu}\,.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{M}_{C,\psi} = (\mathbb{R}^{2a+b}, g_{C,\psi})$ be as in Definition 3.1. Then*

1. *$\mathcal{M}_{C,\psi}$ is geodesically complete.*
2. *For all $P \in \mathbb{R}^{2a+b}$, $\exp_P$ is a diffeomorphism from $T_P(\mathbb{R}^{2a+b})$ to $\mathbb{R}^{2a+b}$.*
3. *The possibly non-zero components of the curvature tensor are, up to the usual $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetries given by:*

$$\begin{aligned}
&R(\partial_{x_i}, \partial_{x_j}, \partial_{x_k}, \partial_{y_\nu}) = -\partial_{x_i}\psi_{jk\nu} + \partial_{x_j}\psi_{ik\nu},\\
&R(\partial_{x_i}, \partial_{x_j}, \partial_{x_k}, \partial_{x_l}) = \textstyle\sum_{\nu\mu} C^{\nu\mu}\left\{\psi_{ik\mu}\psi_{jl\nu} - \psi_{il\mu}\psi_{jk\nu}\right\}\\
&\quad + \textstyle\sum_\nu y_\nu \left\{\partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_k}\psi_{jl\nu} + \partial_{x_j}\partial_{x_l}\psi_{ik\nu} - \partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_l}\psi_{jk\nu} - \partial_{x_j}\partial_{x_k}\psi_{il\nu}\right\}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The non-zero Christoffel symbols of the first kind are given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
&g(\nabla_{\partial_{x_i}}\partial_{x_j}, \partial_{x_k}) = \textstyle\sum_\mu \{\partial_{x_i}\psi_{jk\mu} + \partial_{x_j}\psi_{ik\mu} - \partial_{x_k}\psi_{ij\mu}\} y_\mu,\\
&g(\nabla_{\partial_{x_i}}\partial_{x_j}, \partial_{y_\nu}) = -\psi_{ij\nu},\\
&g(\nabla_{\partial_{x_i}}\partial_{y_\nu}, \partial_{x_k}) = g(\nabla_{\partial_{y_\nu}}\partial_{x_i}, \partial_{x_k}) = \psi_{ik\nu},
\end{aligned}$$

and the non-zero Christoffel symbols of the second kind are given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nabla_{\partial_{x_i}}\partial_{x_j} = \textstyle\sum_\mu y_\mu \{\partial_{x_i}\psi_{jk\mu} + \partial_{x_j}\psi_{ik\mu} - \partial_{x_k}\psi_{ij\mu}\}\partial_{x_k^*} - \sum_{\mu\nu} C^{\nu\mu}\psi_{ij\nu}\partial_{y_\mu},\\
&\nabla_{\partial_{x_i}}\partial_{y_\nu} = \nabla_{\partial_{y_\nu}}\partial_{x_i} = \textstyle\sum_k \psi_{ik\nu}\partial_{x_k^*}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\mathcal{M}$ is a generalized plane wave manifold; Assertions (1) and (2) then follow from results in [7]. Assertion (3) now follows by a direct calculation. □

Proof of Theorem 1.6 (1)-(3) Assertions (1) and (2) of Theorem 1.6 follow by specializing the corresponding results of Lemma 3.2. We use Assertion (3) of Lemma 3.2 to see that the possibly non-zero components of the curvature tensor defined by the metric of Definition 1.5 are:

$$\begin{aligned}
&R(\partial_{x_{i_1}}, \partial_{x_{i_2}}, \partial_{x_{i_3}}, \partial_{x_{i_4}}) = \star,\\
&R(\partial_{x_1}, \partial_{x_2}, \partial_{y_{2,1}}, \partial_{x_1}) = \partial_{x_2}\phi_{2,1}, \quad R(\partial_{x_1}, \partial_{x_3}, \partial_{y_{3,1}}, \partial_{x_1}) = \partial_{x_3}\phi_{3,1},\\
&R(\partial_{x_2}, \partial_{x_3}, \partial_{y_{3,2}}, \partial_{x_2}) = \partial_{x_3}\phi_{3,2}, \quad R(\partial_{x_2}, \partial_{x_1}, \partial_{y_{1,2}}, \partial_{x_2}) = \partial_{x_1}\phi_{1,2},\\
&R(\partial_{x_3}, \partial_{x_1}, \partial_{y_{1,1}}, \partial_{x_3}) = \partial_{x_1}\phi_{1,1}, \quad R(\partial_{x_3}, \partial_{x_2}, \partial_{y_{2,2}}, \partial_{x_3}) = \partial_{x_2}\phi_{2,2},\\
&R(\partial_{x_2}, \partial_{x_1}, \partial_{y_{4,1}}, \partial_{x_3}) = R(\partial_{x_3}, \partial_{x_1}, \partial_{y_{4,1}}, \partial_{x_2}) = -\tfrac{1}{2},\\
&R(\partial_{x_1}, \partial_{x_2}, \partial_{y_{4,2}}, \partial_{x_3}) = R(\partial_{x_3}, \partial_{x_2}, \partial_{y_{4,2}}, \partial_{x_1}) = -\tfrac{1}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We introduce the following basis as a first step in the proof of Assertion (3). Let the index i range from 1 to 3 and the index j run from 1 to 2. Set:

$$\text{(3.a)} \qquad \bar{\alpha}_i := \partial_{x_i}, \quad \alpha_i^* := \partial_{x_i^*}, \quad \bar{\beta}_{4,j} := \partial_{y_{4,j}}, \quad \bar{\beta}_{i,j} := \{\phi'_{i,j}\}^{-1}\partial_{y_{i,j}}\,.$$

Since $\phi'_{i,1}\cdot\phi'_{i,2}=1$, the relations of Equation (1.a) are satisfied. However, we still have the following potentially non-zero terms to deal with:

$$g(\bar\alpha_i,\bar\alpha_j)=\star\quad\text{and}\quad R(\bar\alpha_i,\bar\alpha_j,\bar\alpha_k,\bar\alpha_l)=\star\,.$$

To deal with the extra curvature terms, we introduce a modified basis setting:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde\alpha_1:=\bar\alpha_1+R(\bar\alpha_1,\bar\alpha_2,\bar\alpha_3,\bar\alpha_1)\bar\beta_{4,1}-\tfrac12R(\bar\alpha_1,\bar\alpha_2,\bar\alpha_2,\bar\alpha_1)\bar\beta_{1,2},\\
&\tilde\alpha_2:=\bar\alpha_2+R(\bar\alpha_2,\bar\alpha_1,\bar\alpha_3,\bar\alpha_2)\bar\beta_{4,2}-\tfrac12R(\bar\alpha_2,\bar\alpha_3,\bar\alpha_3,\bar\alpha_2)\bar\beta_{2,2},\\
&\tilde\alpha_3:=\bar\alpha_3-2R(\bar\alpha_3,\bar\alpha_1,\bar\alpha_2,\bar\alpha_3)\bar\beta_{4,1}-\tfrac12R(\bar\alpha_1,\bar\alpha_3,\bar\alpha_3,\bar\alpha_1)\bar\beta_{3,1},\\
&\beta_{1,1}:=\bar\beta_{1,1}+\tfrac12R(\bar\alpha_1,\bar\alpha_2,\bar\alpha_2,\bar\alpha_1)\alpha_1^*,\qquad\qquad \beta_{1,2}:=\bar\beta_{1,2}\\
&\beta_{2,1}:=\bar\beta_{2,1}+\tfrac12R(\bar\alpha_2,\bar\alpha_3,\bar\alpha_3,\bar\alpha_2)\alpha_2^*,\qquad\qquad \beta_{2,2}:=\bar\beta_{2,2},\\
&\beta_{3,2}:=\bar\beta_{3,2}+\tfrac12R(\bar\alpha_1,\bar\alpha_3,\bar\alpha_3,\bar\alpha_1)\alpha_3^*,\qquad\qquad \beta_{3,1}:=\bar\beta_{3,1},\\
&\beta_{4,1}:=\bar\beta_{4,1}+\tfrac12R(\bar\alpha_1,\bar\alpha_2,\bar\alpha_3,\bar\alpha_1)\alpha_1^*-\tfrac14R(\bar\alpha_2,\bar\alpha_1,\bar\alpha_3,\bar\alpha_2)\alpha_2^*\\
&\qquad\qquad -R(\bar\alpha_3,\bar\alpha_1,\bar\alpha_2,\bar\alpha_3)\alpha_3^*,\\
&\beta_{4,2}:=\bar\beta_{4,2}-\tfrac14R(\bar\alpha_1,\bar\alpha_2,\bar\alpha_3,\bar\alpha_1)\alpha_1^*+\tfrac12R(\bar\alpha_2,\bar\alpha_1,\bar\alpha_3,\bar\alpha_2)\alpha_2^*\\
&\qquad\qquad +\tfrac12R(\bar\alpha_3,\bar\alpha_1,\bar\alpha_2,\bar\alpha_3)\alpha_3^*\,.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.b}$$

All the normalizations of Equation (1.a) are satisfied except for the unwanted metric terms $g(\tilde\alpha_i,\tilde\alpha_j)$. To eliminate these terms and to exhibit a basis with the required normalizations, we set:

$$\alpha_i:=\tilde\alpha_i-\tfrac12\textstyle\sum_j g(\tilde\alpha_i,\tilde\alpha_j)\alpha_j^*\,.\qquad\square\tag{3.c}$$

4. Isometry Invariants

We now turn to the task of constructing invariants.

Lemma 4.1. *Adopt the assumptions of Theorem 1.7. Let $\{\alpha_i,\beta_\nu,\alpha_i^*\}$ be defined by Equations (3.a)-(3.c). Set $\phi_1:=\phi'_{1,1}$ and $\phi_2:=\phi'_{1,2}$.*

1. $\nabla R(v_1,v_2,v_3,v_4;v_5)=0$ *if at least one of the $v_i\in V_{\alpha^*}$.*
2. $\nabla R(v_1,v_2,v_3,v_4;v_5)=0$ *if at least two of the $v_i\in V_{\beta,\alpha^*}$.*
3. $\nabla^kR(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_2,\beta_{1,2};\alpha_1,...,\alpha_1)=\phi_2^{-1}\phi_2^{(k)}$.
4. $\nabla^kR(\alpha_1,\alpha_3,\alpha_3,\beta_{1,1};\alpha_1,...,\alpha_1)=\phi_1^{-1}\phi_1^{(k)}$.
5. $\nabla R(\alpha_i,\alpha_j,\alpha_k,\beta_\nu;\alpha_{l_1},...,\alpha_{l_k})=0$ *in cases other than those given in (3) and (4) up to the usual $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry in the first 2 entries.*

Proof. Let v_i be coordinate vector fields. To prove Assertion (1), we suppose some $v_i\in V_{\alpha^*}$. We may use the second Bianchi identity and the other curvature symmetries to assume without loss of generality $v_1\in V_{\alpha^*}$. Since $\nabla_{v_5}v_1=0$ and since $R(v_1,\cdot,\cdot,\cdot)=0$, Assertion (1) follows. The proof of the second assertion is similar and uses the fact that $R(\cdot,\cdot,\cdot,\cdot)=0$ if 2-entries belong to V_{β,α^*}. The proof of the remaining assertions is similar and uses the particular form of the warping functions $\phi_{i,j}$; the factor of $\phi_{1,j}^{-1}$ arising from the normalization in Equation (3.a). $\square$

Definition 4.2. *We say that a basis $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}:=\{\tilde\alpha_i,\tilde\beta_\nu,\tilde\alpha_i^*\}$ is 0-normalized if the normalizations of Equation (1.a) are satisfied and 1-normalized if it is 0-normalized* *and if additionally*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nabla R(\tilde\alpha_1,\tilde\alpha_3,\tilde\alpha_3,\tilde\beta_{1,1};\tilde\alpha_1)=-\nabla R(\tilde\alpha_3,\tilde\alpha_1,\tilde\alpha_3,\tilde\beta_{1,1};\tilde\alpha_1)\ne 0,\\
&\nabla R(\tilde\alpha_1,\tilde\alpha_2,\tilde\alpha_2,\tilde\beta_{1,2};\tilde\alpha_1)=-\nabla R(\tilde\alpha_2,\tilde\alpha_1,\tilde\alpha_2,\tilde\beta_{1,2};\tilde\alpha_1)\ne 0,\\
&\nabla R(\tilde\alpha_i,\tilde\alpha_j,\tilde\alpha_k,\tilde\beta_\nu;\tilde\alpha_l)=0\quad \textit{otherwise}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.3. *Adopt the assumptions of Theorem 1.7. Then:*

(1) *There exists a* 1*-normalized basis.*

(2) *If* $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ *is a* 1*-normalized basis, then there exist constants* a_i *so* $a_1a_2a_3=\varepsilon$ *for* $\varepsilon=\pm1$ *and so that exactly one of the following conditions holds:*
   (a) $\tilde\alpha_1=a_1\alpha_1$, $\tilde\alpha_2=a_2\alpha_2$, $\tilde\alpha_3=a_3\alpha_3$,
   $\tilde\beta_{1,1}=\varepsilon\frac{a_2}{a_3}\beta_{1,1}$, $\tilde\beta_{1,2}=\varepsilon\frac{a_3}{a_2}\beta_{1,2}$.
   (b) $\tilde\alpha_1=a_1\alpha_1$, $\tilde\alpha_2=a_3\alpha_3$, $\tilde\alpha_3=a_2\alpha_2$,
   $\tilde\beta_{1,1}=\varepsilon\frac{a_3}{a_2}\beta_{1,2}$, $\tilde\beta_{1,2}=\varepsilon\frac{a_2}{a_3}\beta_{1,1}$.

Proof. We use Equations (3.a), (3.b), and (3.c) to construct a 0-normalized basis and then apply Lemma 4.1 to see this basis is 1-normalized. On the other hand, if $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is a 1-normalized basis, we may expand:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde\alpha_1=a_{11}\alpha_1+a_{12}\alpha_2+a_{13}\alpha_3+...,\\
&\tilde\alpha_2=a_{21}\alpha_1+a_{22}\alpha_2+a_{23}\alpha_3+...,\quad \tilde\beta_{1,2}=b_{21}\beta_{1,1}+b_{22}\beta_{1,2}+...\\
&\tilde\alpha_3=a_{31}\alpha_1+a_{32}\alpha_2+a_{33}\alpha_3+...,\quad \tilde\beta_{1,1}=b_{11}\beta_{1,1}+b_{12}\beta_{1,2}+...\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Because

$$\begin{aligned}
0&\ne \nabla R(\tilde\alpha_1,\tilde\alpha_2,\tilde\alpha_2,\tilde\beta_{1,2};\tilde\alpha_1)\\
&= a_{11}\left\{(a_{11}a_{22}-a_{12}a_{21})a_{22}b_{22})\phi_2^{-1}\phi_2'\right.\\
&\qquad\left.+\ (a_{11}a_{33}-a_{13}a_{31})a_{33}b_{21})\phi_1^{-1}\phi_1'\right\},
\end{aligned}$$

we have $a_{11}\ne 0$. Because

$$0 = \nabla R(\tilde\alpha_1,\tilde\alpha_2,\tilde\alpha_2,\tilde\beta_{1,2};\tilde\alpha_2)=\tfrac{a_{21}}{a_{11}}\nabla R(\tilde\alpha_1,\tilde\alpha_2,\tilde\alpha_2,\tilde\beta_{1,2};\tilde\alpha_1),$$

we have $a_{21}=0$; similarly $a_{31}=0$. Since $\operatorname{Span}\{\alpha_i\}=\operatorname{Span}\{\tilde\alpha_i\}$ mod $V_{\beta,\alpha*}$,

$$a_{22}a_{33}-a_{23}a_{32}\ne 0\,.$$

By hypothesis $R(\tilde\alpha_1,\tilde\alpha_2,\tilde\alpha_3,\beta;\tilde\alpha_1)=0$ if $\beta\in\operatorname{Span}\{\tilde\beta_\nu,\tilde\alpha_i^*\}=V_{\beta,\alpha^*}$ so

$$\begin{aligned}
0&= R(\tilde\alpha_1,\tilde\alpha_2,\tilde\alpha_3,\beta_{1,2};\tilde\alpha_1)=a_{11}^2a_{22}a_{32}\phi_2^{-1}\phi_2',\\
0&= R(\tilde\alpha_1,\tilde\alpha_2,\tilde\alpha_3,\beta_{1,1};\tilde\alpha_1)=a_{11}^2a_{23}a_{33}\phi_1^{-1}\phi_1'\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $a_{22}\ne 0$. Since $a_{11}^2a_{22}a_{32}=0$ and $a_{11}\ne 0$, $a_{32}=0$. Since $a_{22}a_{33}-a_{23}a_{32}\ne 0$, $a_{33}\ne 0$. Since $a_{11}^2a_{23}a_{33}=0$, we also have $a_{23}=0$. Since the basis is also 0-normalized, $diag(a_{11}^{-1},a_{22}^{-1},a_{33}^{-1})\in SL_\pm(3)$ from the discussion in Section 2. Thus $\varepsilon:=a_{11}a_{22}a_{33}=\pm1$, $b_{11}=\varepsilon\frac{a_{33}}{a_{22}}$, and $b_{22}=\varepsilon\frac{a_{22}}{a_{33}}$. These are the relations of Assertion (2a). The argument is similar if $a_{32}\ne 0$; we simply reverse the roles of $\tilde\alpha_2$ and $\tilde\alpha_3$ to establish the relations of Assertion (2b). □

Proof of Theorem 1.7. Let

$$\Xi(\mathcal{B}):=\frac14\left\{\frac{\nabla^2R(\tilde\alpha_1,\tilde\alpha_2,\tilde\alpha_2,\tilde\beta_{1,2};\tilde\alpha_1,\tilde\alpha_1)}{\{\nabla R(\tilde\alpha_1,\tilde\alpha_2,\tilde\alpha_2,\tilde\beta_{1,2};\tilde\alpha_1)\}^2}-\frac{\nabla^2R(\tilde\alpha_1,\tilde\alpha_3,\tilde\alpha_3,\tilde\beta_{1,1};\tilde\alpha_1,\tilde\alpha_1)}{\{\nabla R(\tilde\alpha_1,\tilde\alpha_3,\tilde\alpha_3,\tilde\beta_{1,1};\tilde\alpha_1)\}^2}\right\}^2$$

We apply Lemma 4.3. Suppose the conditions of Assertion (2a) hold. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nabla R(\tilde\alpha_1,\tilde\alpha_2,\tilde\alpha_2,\tilde\beta_{1,2};\tilde\alpha_1)=a_1\phi_2^{-1}\phi_2',\\
&\nabla^2 R(\tilde\alpha_1,\tilde\alpha_2,\tilde\alpha_2,\tilde\beta_{1,2};\tilde\alpha_1,\tilde\alpha_1)=a_1^2\phi_2^{-1}\phi_2'',\\
&\nabla R(\tilde\alpha_1,\tilde\alpha_3,\tilde\alpha_3,\tilde\beta_{1,1};\tilde\alpha_1)=a_1\phi_1^{-1}\phi_1',\\
&\nabla^2 R(\tilde\alpha_1,\tilde\alpha_3,\tilde\alpha_3,\tilde\beta_{1,1};\tilde\alpha_1,\tilde\alpha_1)=a_1^2\phi_1^{-1}\phi_1'',
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Xi(\mathcal{B})=\frac{1}{4}\left\{\frac{\phi_2\phi_2''}{\phi_2'\phi_2'}-\frac{\phi_1\phi_1''}{\phi_1'\phi_1'}\right\}^2\,.$$

The roles of ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are reversed if Assertion (2b) holds. It now follows that Ξ is a local isometry invariant. Since $\phi_2=\phi_1^{-1}$, $\phi_2'=-\phi_1^{-2}\phi_1'$, $\phi_2''=2\phi_1^{-3}\phi_1'\phi_1'-\phi_1^{-2}\phi_1''$, we may establish Assertion (1) of Theorem 1.7 by computing

$$\frac{\phi_2\phi_2''}{\phi_2'\phi_2'}=\frac{\phi_1^{-1}(2\phi_1^{-3}\phi_1'\phi_1'-\phi_1^{-2}\phi_1'')}{\phi_1^{-4}\phi_1'\phi_1'}=2-\frac{\phi_1\phi_1''}{\phi_1'\phi_1'}\,.$$

Consequently

$$\Xi=\frac{1}{4}\left\{2-2\frac{\phi_1\phi_1''}{\phi_1'\phi_1'}\right\}^2\,.$$

If $\mathcal{M}_\Phi$ is locally homogeneous, then Ξ must be constant. Conversely, if Ξ is constant, then $\phi_1\phi_1''=k\phi_1'\phi_1'$ for some $k\in\mathbb{R}$. The solutions to this ordinary differential equation take the form $\phi_1(t)=a(t+b)^c$ if $k\neq 1$ and $\phi_1(t)=ae^{bt}$ if $k=1$ for suitably chosen constants a and b and for $c=c(k)$. The first family is ruled out as ϕ_1 and ϕ_1' must be invertible for all t. Thus $\phi_1(t)$ is a pure exponential; Assertion (2) of Theorem 1.7 follows. □

5. A SYMMETRIC SPACE WITH MODEL $\mathfrak{M}_{14}$

We give the proof of Theorem 1.9 as follows. Let $\mathcal{M}_A$ be as described in Definition 1.8. By Lemma 3.2 one has that:

$$\begin{aligned}
&R(\partial_{x_2},\partial_{x_1},\partial_{x_1},\partial_{y_{2,1}})=R(\partial_{x_3},\partial_{x_1},\partial_{x_1},\partial_{y_{3,1}})=1,\\
&R(\partial_{x_3},\partial_{x_2},\partial_{x_2},\partial_{y_{3,2}})=R(\partial_{x_1},\partial_{x_2},\partial_{x_2},\partial_{y_{1,2}})=1,\\
&R(\partial_{x_1},\partial_{x_3},\partial_{x_3},\partial_{y_{1,1}})=R(\partial_{x_2},\partial_{x_3},\partial_{x_3},\partial_{y_{2,2}})=1,\\
&R(\partial_{x_1},\partial_{x_2},\partial_{x_3},\partial_{y_{4,1}})=R(\partial_{x_1},\partial_{x_3},\partial_{x_2},\partial_{y_{4,1}})=-\tfrac{1}{2},\\
&R(\partial_{x_2},\partial_{x_3},\partial_{x_1},\partial_{y_{4,2}})=R(\partial_{x_2},\partial_{x_1},\partial_{x_3},\partial_{y_{4,2}})=-\tfrac{1}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

The same argument constructing a 0-normalized basis which was given in the proof of Theorem 1.4 can then be used to construct a 0-normalized basis in this setting and establish that $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$ has 0-model $\mathfrak{M}_{14}$.

We can also apply Lemma 3.2 to see:

$$\begin{aligned}
&R(\partial_{x_1},\partial_{x_2},\partial_{x_2},\partial_{x_1})=-a_{3,1}a_{3,2}x_3^2,\\
&R(\partial_{x_1},\partial_{x_3},\partial_{x_3},\partial_{x_1})=-\tfrac{1}{3}(2+3a_{2,1}a_{2,2})x_2^2,\\
&R(\partial_{x_3},\partial_{x_2},\partial_{x_2},\partial_{x_3})=-\tfrac{1}{3}(2+3a_{1,1}a_{1,2})x_1^2,\\
&R(\partial_{x_2},\partial_{x_1},\partial_{x_1},\partial_{x_3})=(1-a_{1,1}-a_{1,2}+a_{1,1}a_{1,2}+a_{2,1}\\
&\quad -a_{2,1}a_{2,2}+a_{3,1}-a_{3,1}a_{3,2})x_2x_3,\\
&R(\partial_{x_1},\partial_{x_2},\partial_{x_2},\partial_{x_3})=(1+a_{1,2}-a_{2,1}-a_{1,1}a_{1,2}-a_{2,2}\\
&\quad +a_{2,1}a_{2,2}+a_{3,2}-a_{3,1}a_{3,2})x_1x_3,\\
&R(\partial_{x_1},\partial_{x_3},\partial_{x_3},\partial_{x_2})=(\tfrac{2}{3}+a_{1,1}-a_{1,1}a_{1,2}+a_{2,2}\\
&\quad -a_{2,1}a_{2,2}-a_{3,1}-a_{3,2}+a_{3,1}a_{3,2})x_1x_2.
\end{aligned}$$

The Christoffel symbols describing $\nabla_{\partial_{x_i}}\partial_{x_j}$ are given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nabla_{\partial_{x_1}}\partial_{x_1}=(2-a_{2,1})y_{2,1}\partial_{x_2^*}+(2-a_{3,1})y_{3,1}\partial_{x_3^*}+a_{2,1}x_2\partial_{y_{2,2}}\\
&\quad+a_{3,1}x_3\partial_{y_{3,2}},\\
&\nabla_{\partial_{x_2}}\partial_{x_2}=(2-a_{1,2})y_{1,2}\partial_{x_1^*}+(2-a_{3,2})y_{3,2}\partial_{x_3^*}+a_{1,2}x_1\partial_{y_{1,1}}\\
&\quad+a_{3,2}x_3\partial_{y_{3,1}},\\
&\nabla_{\partial_{x_3}}\partial_{x_3}=(2-a_{1,1})y_{1,1}\partial_{x_1^*}+(2-a_{2,2})y_{2,2}\partial_{x_2^*}+a_{2,2}x_2\partial_{y_{2,1}}\\
&\quad+a_{1,1}x_1\partial_{y_{1,2}},\\
&\nabla_{\partial_{x_1}}\partial_{x_2}=-a_{2,1}y_{2,1}\partial_{x_1^*}-a_{1,2}y_{1,2}\partial_{x_2^*}+\tfrac{y_{4,1}+y_{4,2}}{2}\partial_{x_3^*}\\
&\quad+(a_{1,2}-1)x_2\partial_{y_{1,1}}+(a_{2,1}-1)x_1\partial_{y_{2,2}},\\
&\nabla_{\partial_{x_1}}\partial_{x_3}=-a_{3,1}y_{3,1}\partial_{x_1^*}+\tfrac{y_{4,1}-y_{4,2}}{2}\partial_{x_2^*}-a_{1,1}y_{1,1}\partial_{x_3^*}\\
&\quad+(a_{1,1}-1)x_3\partial_{y_{1,2}}+(a_{3,1}-1)x_1\partial_{y_{3,2}}+\tfrac{2x_2}{3}\partial_{y_{4,1}}+\tfrac{4x_2}{3}\partial_{y_{4,2}},\\
&\nabla_{\partial_{x_2}}\partial_{x_3}=\tfrac{-y_{4,1}+y_{4,2}}{2}\partial_{x_1^*}-a_{3,2}y_{3,2}\partial_{x_2^*}-a_{2,2}y_{2,2}\partial_{x_3^*}\\
&\quad+(a_{2,2}-1)x_3\partial_{y_{2,1}}+(a_{3,2}-1)x_2\partial_{y_{3,1}}+\tfrac{4x_1}{3}\partial_{y_{4,1}}+\tfrac{2x_1}{3}\partial_{y_{4,2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is now easy to show that the non-zero components of ∇R are:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nabla R(\partial_{x_1},\partial_{x_2},\partial_{x_2},\partial_{x_1};\partial_{x_3})=-2(-2+a_{1,1}+a_{2,2}+a_{3,1}a_{3,2})x_3,\\
&\nabla R(\partial_{x_1},\partial_{x_3},\partial_{x_3},\partial_{x_1};\partial_{x_2})=-\tfrac{2}{3}(-4+3a_{1,2}+3a_{3,2}+3a_{2,1}a_{2,2})x_2,\\
&\nabla R(\partial_{x_2},\partial_{x_3},\partial_{x_3},\partial_{x_2};\partial_{x_1})=-\tfrac{2}{3}(-4+3a_{2,1}+3a_{3,1}+3a_{1,1}a_{1,2})x_1,\\
&\nabla R(\partial_{x_2},\partial_{x_1},\partial_{x_1},\partial_{x_3};\partial_{x_2})=(2-a_{1,1}-a_{1,2}+a_{2,1}-a_{2,2}\\
&\qquad+a_{3,1}-a_{3,2}+a_{1,1}a_{1,2}-a_{2,1}a_{2,2}-a_{3,1}a_{3,2})x_3,\\
&\nabla R(\partial_{x_2},\partial_{x_1},\partial_{x_1},\partial_{x_3};\partial_{x_3})=(2-a_{1,1}-a_{1,2}+a_{2,1}-a_{2,2}\\
&\qquad+a_{3,1}-a_{3,2}+a_{1,1}a_{1,2}-a_{2,1}a_{2,2}-a_{3,1}a_{3,2})x_2,\\
&\nabla R(\partial_{x_1},\partial_{x_2},\partial_{x_2},\partial_{x_3};\partial_{x_1})=(2-a_{1,1}+a_{1,2}-a_{2,1}-a_{2,2}\\
&\qquad-a_{3,1}+a_{3,2}-a_{1,1}a_{1,2}+a_{2,1}a_{2,2}-a_{3,1}a_{3,2})x_3,\\
&\nabla R(\partial_{x_1},\partial_{x_2},\partial_{x_2},\partial_{x_3};\partial_{x_3})=(2-a_{1,1}+a_{1,2}-a_{2,1}-a_{2,2}\\
&\qquad-a_{3,1}+a_{3,2}-a_{1,1}a_{1,2}+a_{2,1}a_{2,2}-a_{3,1}a_{3,2})x_1,\\
&\nabla R(\partial_{x_1},\partial_{x_3},\partial_{x_3},\partial_{x_2};\partial_{x_1})=(\tfrac{2}{3}+a_{1,1}-a_{1,2}-a_{2,1}+a_{2,2}\\
&\qquad-a_{3,1}-a_{3,2}-a_{1,1}a_{1,2}-a_{2,1}a_{2,2}+a_{3,1}a_{3,2})x_2,\\
&\nabla R(\partial_{x_1},\partial_{x_3},\partial_{x_3},\partial_{x_2};\partial_{x_2})=(\tfrac{2}{3}+a_{1,1}-a_{1,2}-a_{2,1}+a_{2,2}\\
&\qquad-a_{3,1}-a_{3,2}-a_{1,1}a_{1,2}-a_{2,1}a_{2,2}+a_{3,1}a_{3,2})x_1.
\end{aligned}$$

We set $\nabla R=0$ to obtain the desired equations of Theorem 1.9; the first 3 equations generate the last 6. □

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Research of M. Brozos-Vázquez supported by the project BFM 2003-02949 Spain and by the Max Planck Institute for the Mathematical Sciences in Leipzig, Germany. Research of P. Gilkey supported by the Max Planck Institute for the Mathematical Sciences in Leipzig, Germany. Research of S. Nikčević supported by DAAD (Germany), TU Berlin, MM 1646 (Serbia) and by the project 144032D (Serbia). This paper is dedicated to the memory of our colleague Novica Blažić who passed away Monday 10 October 2005.

References

[1] N. Blažić, N. Bokan, and P. Gilkey, *A Note on Osserman Lorentzian manifolds*, Bull. London Math. Soc., **29** (1997), 227–230.

[2] N. Blažić, N. Bokan, and Z. Rakić, *Osserman pseudo-Riemannian manifolds of signature* $(2, 2)$, Aust. Math. Soc. **71**, (2001), 367–395.

[3] M. Brozos-Vázquez and P. Gilkey, *Manifolds with commuting Jacobi operators*; preprint math.DG/0507554.

[4] M. Brozos-Vázquez and P. Gilkey, *Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds with commuting Jacobi operators*, preprint math.DG/0608707.

[5] E. García–Río, D. Kupeli, and M. E. Vázquez-Abal, *On a problem of Osserman in Lorentzian geometry*, Differential Geometry and its Applications **7** (1997), 85–100.

[6] E. García–Río, D. Kupeli, and R. Vázquez-Lorenzo, *Osserman Manifolds in Semi-Riemannian Geometry*, Lecture Notes in Math., **1777**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

[7] P. Gilkey and S. Nikčević, *Generalized plane wave manifolds*, Kragujevac J. Math., **28** (2005), 113–138.

[8] G. Stanilov and V. Videv, *On the commuting of curvature operators*, Mathematics and Education in Mathematics (Proceedings of the Thirty Third Spring Conference of the Union of Bulgarian Mathematicians Borovtes, April 1-4, 2004), Union of Bulgarian Mathematicians, Sofia (2004), 176–179.

[9] Y. Tsankov, *A characterization of* n*-dimensional hypersurface in* $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ *with commuting curvature operators*, Banach Center Publ. **69** (2005), 205–209.

MBV: Department of Geometry and Topology, Faculty of Mathematics, University of Santiago de Compostela, 15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain, Email:*mbrozos@usc.es.*

PG: Mathematics Department, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403, USA, Email: *gilkey@uoregon.edu.*

SN: Mathematical Institute, SANU, Knez Mihailova 35, p.p. 367, 11001 Belgrade, Serbia and Montenegro, Email: *stanan@mi.sanu.ac.yu.*